

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/19/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/433,761	11/04/1999	JOHN A. RUSHING	UMB-LITES	2970
7:	590 02/19/2002			
KAJANE MCMANUS			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 344 WONDER LAKE, IL 60097			TON, ANABEL	
			2875	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/433,761	RUSHING, JOHN A.
, autoria, francis	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anabel M Ton	2875
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDI	TION FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in f the appeal.
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		<i>,</i> ,
<ul> <li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:		•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	s issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an vor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>10</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
B. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		1. 1
0. Other:	(), (), (), (), (), (), (), (), (), (),	Fade hh
	So	Sandra O'Shea upervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

J.S. Patent and Trademark Office

sation of the control of the control



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because contrary to applicants comments Adler does disclose spaced apart strings of spaced apart lights, such strings extending from a power cord. As the applicant would surely recognize Adler shows a plurality of decorative illuminated devices formed in the shape of icicles. Each, icicle (fig 1) includes a plurality of spaced apart lights such lights being connected in series or in parallel (col. 33-35). Applicant's argument that the lights 18 of Adler are on the power cord 19, not on the strings extending from the power cord 19 are in complete opposition to what is disclosed in Figure 1 of Adler. Applicants citation of 160 USPQ 237 is noted, and applicants attention is directed to lines 6-9 where it reads that an old combination is patentable IF a new problem is solved. In this case, however, aplicant is solving not only an old and well known problem, but the proposed solution is also old and well known as evidenced by Lehman et al. Applicants argument that Adler requires his invention to be formed in the shape of an icicle, contrary to the claimed invention, is irrelevant, since the claims in the instant invnetion are presented in open language which can include additional elements without precluding a rejection based on Prior Art. Applicant is strongly advised to review MPEP 2111.03. Regarding applicant's statement that "only applicant connects lights in series to wires connected in parallel" it is noted that while such features are indeed not clearly disclosed by Adler, it is clearly noted that the claims, as recited by applicant, also fail to include such a strucutre. In addition, if applicant decides to amend the claims to include a series AND parallel circuit, a rejection under 103 would be issued since one of ordinary skill in the illumination art would have recognized such circuitry not only as onld and well known in the art, but also as a standardized feature of decorative light string.